EVALUATION DEPARTMENT

REPORT 11/2017 COUNTRY EVALUATION BRIEF





UPDATED NOVEMBER 2018

1 Nepal – Evaluation Portrait

1.1 2018

1.1.1 Evaluation of the European Union's humanitarian interventions in India and Nepal (2013-2017)

Evaluation	Evaluation of the European Union's humanitarian interventions in India and Nepal, 2013-2017
Published (year)	2018
Author/Agency	ADE
Commissioned by	European Commission
Type of evaluation	Thematic/Country
Project period	2013-2017
Keywords	Shelter/Non-Food Items (NFIs), Water and Sanitation, Health, Food Assistance, Protection, Recovery and Disaster Risk Reduction.
Abstract	The evaluation – which draws upon evidence from project data, interviews, field visits and a literature review – assesses the European Union's humanitarian interventions in India and Nepal, as managed by the European Commission's Directorate-General for Civil Protection and Humanitarian Aid Operations (ECHO). ECHO invested a total of EUR 50 million in the two countries during 2013-2017, of which 60% was allocated to Nepal. In Nepal during this period ECHO supported responses to the 2015 earthquake in Nepal. This event also provided the necessary momentum to endorse a much-anticipated Disaster Risk Reduction and Management Act where ECHO's contribution has been widely recognised by partners. The evaluation concludes that ECHO country programmes succeeded in achieving most project output objectives, with mixed results at an outcome level due to various factors. Better outcomes have been achieved in Nepal than in India due mainly to a less challenging operating environment. Community-based disaster risk reduction (DRR) projects in Nepal in the education and health sectors have been scaled up at to a national policy level contributing to improved resilience of communities with the education intervention providing a good practice example of collaboration. Five strategic recommendations targeted primarily at ECHO include 1) improving the timeliness and predictability of funding decisions for quick-onset disasters, 2) promoting a programme-based approach for DRR activities, 3) improved cooperation with development actors, 4) using the current transition in Nepal as an opportunity to build on ECHO's previous DRR work and 5) exploring alternative models for future cooperation with India.
Cross-cutting issues	International humanitarian cooperation, good humanitarian donorship, risk reduction and preparedness, civil protection, civil and military relations
Link to evaluation	https://ec.europa.eu/echo/sites/echo-site/files/kr-04-18-059-2a-n.pdf

1.1.2 Evaluation of the National Early Childhood Development Program (2004-2015)

Evaluation	Evaluation of the National Early Childhood Development Program
Published (year)	2018
Author/Agency	Oxford Policy Management

Evaluation	Evaluation of the National Early Childhood Development Program
Commissioned by	UNICEF
Type of evaluation	Country/Thematic
Project period	2004-2015
Keywords	Education, health, nutrition, WASH, protection
Abstract	 Early childhood development (ECD) is key to the future wellbeing of children. This importance has been recognised globally over time, and this has been cemented through the adoption of ECD targets and goals as part of the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, which itself is part of the United Nations' global development goals. The evaluation finds that although the ECD strategy was explicit about a holistic approach to ECD, the provision of ECD services had in reality taken place in sectoral terms. Relevant line ministries were responsible for providing specific services to children between conception and five years of age, but these had not been integrated in a meaningful way. The overall findings suggest that the provision of ECD services generally improved during the timeframe of the ECD strategy (2004–2015). However, despite these improvements, significant geographical and wealth variations remained and these had profound implications for access to and quality of ECD services they should receive to ensure their all-round, holistic development. Key recommendations include for example: The ownership of the entire ECD strategy, beginning from the conceptualising and designing phase, must be shared by each of the relevant sectoral and intersectoral ministries in a defined way. The fact that Nepal is undergoing an extensive decentralisation process under the new federal set-up presents a unique opportunity to ensure the holistic development and delivery of ECD. Local governments should thus receive support to deliver the ECD strategy and provide services in a holistic and equitable way.
	• The ECD strategy should clearly spell out minimum ECD services that all children from conception to the age of five should receive.
Cross-cutting issues	Governance
Link to evaluation	https://www.unicef.org/evaldatabase/files/Evaluation_of_the_National_E CD_Program_OPM_full_report_final_Nepal_2018-001.pdf

1.1.3 Evaluation of the Impact of the BRIDGE Program in Nepal (2008-2018)

Evaluation	Evaluation of the Impact of the Bridge Programin Nepal
Published (year)	2018
Author/Agency	Ross Attrill, Prakash Bhattarai
Commissioned by	UNDP
Type of evaluation	Country/thematic
Project period	2008-2018
Keywords	Democracy, governance, elections
Abstract	In 2007, the Election Commission of Nepal (ECN) embarked on what was to become an ambitious, 10-year implementation of the BRIDGE (Building Resources in Democracy, Governance and Elections) professional

Evaluation	Evaluation of the Impact of the Bridge Programin Nepal
	development course. BRIDGE was originally designed to help electoral administrators examine the elements of the electoral cycle in order to help them conduct their business in a more efficient and effective way. However, during almost two decades of the implementation of BRIDGE, it has become apparent that one of BRIDGE's strengths is that it is flexible and adaptable to local contexts. BRIDGE partners are the Australian Electoral Commission (AEC), International IDEA, IFES, the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and the United Nations Electoral Assistance Division (UNEAD). Nepal and the ECN are unique in the world in that they have had the longest, continuous relationship with BRIDGE and have used it in an effort to build capacity of ECN staff and positive working relationships with numerous electoral stakeholders in Nepal.
	The evaluation of BRIDGE in Nepal assessed its impacts in Nepal and explored ways in which BRIDGE's impacts can be properly assessed wherever it is used. The report concludes that BRIDGE had been both a great success in terms of its usefulness to the ECN and Nepal generally, but that it still faces challenges in terms of it administration and implementation.
	Positive findings include:
	BRIDGE was universally valued by participants and facilitators
	 The BRIDGE Coordination Committee proved itself to be an excellent model of coordination between Electoral Management Bodies and the BRIDGE Partners.
	ECN has clear ownership.
	Identified challenges include:
	 A lack of transparent ECN selection policies for facilitators and participants resulted in unequal access to BRIDGE and to disappointment and confusion from those who did not have the opportunity to attend BRIDGE events.
	 A lack of defined objectives for the use of BRIDGE both in Partner project designs and ECN policy documents made BRIDGE impacts difficult to measure
	 A lack of consultation, particularly with district staff, regarding what gets run, by whom and for whom may resulted in people not getting the BRIDGE modules they needed.
Cross-cutting issues	Institution-building, gender
Link to evaluation	http://www.np.undp.org/content/dam/nepal/docs/2018_undpnepal/UNDP _NP-Evaluation-of-the-impact-of-the-bridge-program-in-Nepal.pdf

1.1.4 Gender Equality and Social Inclusion Assessment of the Energy Sector (2012-2018)

Evaluation	Gender Equality and Social Inclusion Assessment of the Energy Sector
Published (year)	2018
Author/Agency	Social Science Baha
Commissioned by	Asian Development Bank (ADB)
Type of evaluation	Study
Project period	mainly 2012-2018
Keywords	Energy, hydropower, gender, ethnicity, social inclusion

Evaluation	Gender Equality and Social Inclusion Assessment of the Energy Sector
Abstract	Deeply embedded structural conditions determined by gender, caste or ethnicity, religion, language, and geography in Nepal mean that access to, as well as benefits from, energy resources flow are unequal. Women, the poor, and people from excluded groups experiencing energy poverty differently and more severely than those from relatively advantaged groups. This study provides a comprehensive analysis of gender equality and social inclusion (GESI) issues of the energy sector. The report indicates that consideration of GESI issues in energy is crucial from an equity perspective and to ensure the long-term sustainability of projects. The findings show that the experience in the sector in Nepal is mixed, ranging from practices that border on indifference or even ignorance to ones that show promise on how interventions can prove beneficial to women, the poor, and socially excluded groups. Supportive policy and institutional frameworks are essential to incorporate GESI issues in the energy sector. Despite some limitations, existing policies that have positively incorporated GESI issues are a series of relatively recent rural energy policies, namely, the Rural Energy Policy, 2006, Renewable Energy Subsidy Policy, 2016, and the Rural Energy Subsidy Delivery Mechanism, 2013, which recognise that for socially excluded groups, access to energy can be made affordable only with significant subsidies and/or external support. Risk mitigation plans or safeguards instruments (e.g. resettlement action plan and GESI Action Plan) generally reflect a "do no harm" approach. However, experience from some of the projects analysed (e.g., the Tanahu Hydropower Project, Kabeli Hydropower Project) indicates that these instruments or plans can be expanded into a comprehensive "do good" document, and thus contribute to improving gender and social inclusion outcomes in the energy sector. Linked to the benefits of having decentralized decision-making structures and greater community mobilisation needs to have happened from an early phase and c
Cross-cutting issues	Gender, governance
Link to evaluation	https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/publication/401781/gender- equality-social-inclusion-assessment-energy-nepal.pdf

1.2 2017

1.2.1 Evaluation of Danish-Nepalese Development Cooperation (1991-2016)

Evaluation	Evaluation of Danish-Nepalese Development Cooperation 1991-2016
Published (year)	2017
Author/Agency	FCG International
Commissioned by	Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Denmark
Type of evaluation	Country
Project period	1991-2016
Keywords	Peacebuilding, decentralisation, education, renewable natural resources
Abstract	The evaluation provides a record of the longer-term changes achieved through Danish development assistance programmes in Nepal from 1991 to 2016. The report documents the results achieved, explores the value- added and provides lessons learned through the Danish-Nepalese

Evaluation	Evaluation of Danish-Nepalese Development Cooperation 1991-2016
	partnership. Multiple sources of information were used, including evidence from the documentary record, and interviews with 193 individual informants and 123 members of beneficiary groups.
	The evaluation notes that most Danida investments were done in partnership with other donors, and all of them with Nepalese stakeholders. The report finds that the Danish engagement contributed to peacebuilding and decentralisation in Nepal as well as to the development of the education system as a whole. Other key contributions relate to renewable natural resources, urban/industrial environment and dairy development. The evaluation furthermore states that of all the individual donors with which Nepal might have had a long-term relationship, Danida was among the best suited to its particular needs for intimate, non-judgemental and long-term encouragement while it worked out how to solve its own problems in its own way.
	Key recommendations include for example:
	• Engage with regional initiatives that build on legacies of previous cooperation: In this case a change from 'bilateral' to 'regional' thinking would allow Nepal's own ecological and social features to be seen as parts of a single Himalayan system, connected internally and externally by flows of water, wildlife, weather, ideas and economic transactions, and within which cooperation among all peoples and attention to all localities is essential to address common challenges such as climate change.
	 Use Nepal's experience to explore conflict and solutions to it: Conflicts between peoples, nations and classes are likely to proliferate, requiring the development of skills to understand, calm and resolve conflicts, and to assist in the consolidation o settlements to restore lasting peace.
	• Build on Denmark's reputation and preferences for promoting 'soft' values: Many valuable outcomes can be traced to Danida's role in defending the rights of the voiceless and powerless through attention to marginalised groups, gender equity, education, and dialogue-based and non-imposed collaboration.
Cross-cutting issues	Human rights, good governance, gender equity and social inclusion (GESI), environmental sustainability
Link to evaluation	http://www.netpublikationer.dk/um/evaluation_danish- nepalese_development_cooperation_1991-2016/Pdf/evaluation_danish- nepalese_development_cooperation_1991-2016.pdf

1.2.2 Evaluation of UNICEF Nepal Country Programme Action Plan (2013-17)

Evaluation	Evaluation of UNICEF Nepal Country Programme Action Plan (2013- 17)
Published (year)	2017
Author/Agency	Ernst & Young LLP - India
Commissioned by	UNICEF
Type of evaluation	Country
Project period	2013-2017
Keywords	Health, nutrition, water sanitation and hygiene (WASH), education, adolescent development and participation, social policy, disaster risk reduction and emergency preparedness
Abstract	The evaluation assessed the relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, and sustainability of the Country Programme Action Plan (CPAP) 2013-2017.

	CPAP was the primary agreed document to guide programme co- operation between UNICEF and the Government of Nepal for the period of 2013- 2017. The CPAP was a five year framework that provided the narrative of goals, results and strategies and was developed following UNICEF global refocus on equity in 2010. The overall goal of the 2013-2017 Country Programme was to directly address inequity in three sectors (policy, system and societal) so that all children, adolescents and women have access to education, health care, nutrition, sanitation, hygiene, safe water, protection, information, and other services necessary to fulfil their rights to survival, development, protection and participation. Overall, the CPAP was found to be well-aligned with international and national development priorities. The programme complemented existing national policies and challenges faced by the country. Among a wide range of results, CPAP contributed to the inclusion of progressive provisions related to child protection in Nepal's new constitution. The programme also helped to increase the budget allocation for nutrition within the health sector as well as outside of health sector. UNICEF efficiently utilised the human, financial and technical resources while pursuing the objectives of the CPAP. At the same time the evaluation
	notes that there had been variations in the amount of funds disbursed, in relation to those that had been allocated to the specific component. The combination of strategies utilised by UNICEF in the process of implementation and positive working relationship with the Government and its operational ministries contributed to overall programme sustainability. On the other hand factors such as unavailability of appropriate data on all indicators to generate evidence limited UNICEF's ability to galvanise support for real-time change and to maintain the same in the long run.
	There are several lessons that can be drawn out of the CPAP implementation period 2013-2017 such as the importance of adequately focusing building capacities for emergency preparedness given Nepal's disaster vulnerability.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender equity, urbanisation, disaster risk reduction, climate change
Link to evaluation	https://www.unicef.org/evaldatabase/index_95060.html

1.2.3 Evaluation of Humanitarian Quality Assurance – Nepal: Evaluation of Oxfam's response to the 2015 earthquake

Evaluation	Humanitarian Quality Assurance – Nepal: Evaluation of Oxfam's response to the 2015 earthquake
Published (year)	2017
Author/Agency	Richard Luff
Commissioned by	Oxfam
Type of evaluation	Country (emergency response in affected areas)
Project period	2015
Keywords	Earthquake response, humanitarian, emergency, food security, shelter, water, sanitation, hygiene, livelihood
Abstract	A 7.6 magnitude earthquake struck Nepal on 25 April 2015 creating large- scale damage and many casualties. The evaluation focused on Oxfam's response to this earthquake. The period covered is late April 2015 to late December 2015. The evaluation used a methodology designed to enable Oxfam to estimate how many disaster-affected men and women globally had received humanitarian aid from Oxfam that meets established

Evaluation	Humanitarian Quality Assurance – Nepal: Evaluation of Oxfam's response to the 2015 earthquake
	standards for excellence. The methodology was based on the Humanitarian Indicator Tool (HIT) consisting of up to 15 quality standards and a scoring system using established benchmarks for each standard. Based on the full set of indicators the evaluation awarded an overall score of 34.5 out of 54, equivalent to 64%.
	Overall, the evaluation found, inter alia:
	 Oxfam met the standard for timeliness; Oxfam put the Core Humanitarian Standard in place and adjusted actions and indicators to the context; Minimum Monitoring, Evaluation, Accountability and Learning (MEAL) standards were used regularly; Feedback from partners and beneficiaries was positive; Oxfam only partially addressed the needs of vulnerable groups; Oxfam almost met the standard for preparedness (Contingency plan, risk analysis, etc.); Oxfam met all the requirements under staff capacity, exceeding quantitative measures where stipulated; There was not much evidence of Oxfam playing a strong leadership role in any of the forums and standing out as being particularly influential; There were active measures in place to prevent and/or act upon corruption, fraud, conflicts of interest and misuse of resources; The imposition of customs duties around four to five weeks into the response (for goods brought in by agencies other than the UN and Red Cross) severely compromised Oxfam's ability to deliver fast. The arising challenges were not managed effectively.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender
Link to evaluation	http://policy-practice.oxfam.org.uk/publications/humanitarian-quality- assurance-nepal-evaluation-of-oxfams-response-to-the-nepal-620255

1.3 2016

1.3.1 Independent Evaluation of the UN Peace Fund for Nepal

Evaluation	Independent Evaluation of the UN Peace Fund for Nepal
Published (year)	2016
Author/Agency	Lattanzio
Commissioned by	UNPFN
Type of evaluation	Country (thematic)
Project period	2007-2015
Keywords	Peace-building, rule of law, human rights, jobs, good governance, conflict prevention, institution building, participation, children, security, reconciliation, justice, governance
Abstract	The Comprehensive Peace Agreement (CPA), signed in 2006, committed conflict parties as the signatories to pursuing inclusive recovery and rehabilitation, and ensuring justice and reparations for victims of conflict. It also committed to the formation of transitional justice mechanisms. The CPA provided a good framework for international peacebuilding interventions to support the peace process. In March 2007 Security Council Resolution 1740 mandated the creation of the United Nations Peace Fund for Nepal (UNPFN). Its goal was to finance projects solicited from UN organisations. UNPFN's objectives evolved over time as the

Evaluation	Independent Evaluation of the UN Peace Fund for Nepal
	context changed. UNPFN received contributions from the governments of the United Kingdom, Norway, Denmark, Canada and Switzerland, as well as from the global UN Peacebuilding Fund (PBF), which itself has over 50 donors. USD 46.4 million was allocated through 34 projects selected by a competitive process. All the UN agencies operating in Nepal participated in these projects across the country.
	The evaluation found that UNPFN provided assistance that was relevant to the implementation of the CPA at key moments in time (particularly in 2007 when demobilisation took place and in 2010 when reintegration of ex-combatants was launched on a large scale). This effort was very responsive to the evolving needs of the situation. The quality of relations between the implementing organisations and the parties to the conflict as well as the Government were good thanks to the recognised status of the UN in the country, but relations loosened over the years as a reflection of the changes in the policy environment of aid in Nepal, particularly after the 2015 earthquake.
	Another important finding is the consistent manner in which all the projects supported the interests of marginalised groups, in particular women, children, and Dalit and Janagati/indigenous people. The UN represented approximately 10% of the total funding allocated to peacebuilding by donors and international agencies, in what can be considered a successful resolution of the conflict, allowing the UNPFN to make a significant contribution. For example, the 2013 elections, to which UNPFN provided support, were repeatedly cited by interviewees in Nepal as one of the most successful elements of the peace process
Cross-cutting issues	Gender, context sensitivity
Link to evaluation	http://social-terrain.com/static/media/161003-Peacebuilding-Nepal- Evaluation.pdf

1.3.2 Evaluation of UNICEF's Response and Recovery Efforts to the Gorkha Earthquake in Nepal

Evaluation	Evaluation of UNICEF's Response and Recovery Efforts to the Gorkha Earthquake in Nepal
Published (year)	2016
Author/Agency	DARA
Commissioned by	UNICEF
Type of evaluation	Country (emergency response in affected areas)
Project period	2015-2016
Keywords	Earthquake response, recovery, reconstruction, humanitarian, emergency, water and sanitation, health, nutrition, education, child protection, social policy, communication for development
Abstract	The evaluation assessed UNICEF's response and recovery efforts between 25 April 2015 and 31 January 2016 in a sample of the 14 most severely affected districts. The institutional scope of the evaluation was UNICEF's response at the UNICEF Nepal Country Office (NCO) level as well as support received from the regional and headquarters levels under Level 2 (L2) emergency procedures. A detailed assessment of individual sections' responses was beyond the scope of this evaluation and was not attempted. The evaluation found that the UNICEF response was outstanding overall and characterized by a significant initial field presence and early mobilisation of prepositioned contingency supplies, funds and partners.

Evaluation	Evaluation of UNICEF's Response and Recovery Efforts to the Gorkha Earthquake in Nepal
	As of December 2015, UNICEF had largely achieved its targets under the Humanitarian Action for Children (HAC), with the exception of the number of cases of severe acute malnutrition treated, and the indicator related to access to sanitation. In collaboration with other stakeholders, UNICEF contributed to preventing the deterioration of access to health services for children and women and increase in malnutrition rates. A combined Health and WASH approach, including an early contingency plan, was able to limit and control the outbreak of cholera detected in early August.
	The Education programme ensured the safe return to school for 179,300 children from the communities affected while the Child Protection programme supported data collection activities which were a first for vulnerable children and key to strengthening child protection systems. Analysis of Humanitarian Performance Monitoring (HPM) results, however, provide a partial understanding of the effectiveness of the response; not all programme activities are reflected, disaggregated data is not reflected, and indicators are primarily output focused and unable to capture programme outcomes.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender, vulnerability, children
Link to evaluation	https://www.unicef.org/evaldatabase/index 92870.html

1.3.3 Evaluation of Finland's Development Cooperation Country Strategies and Country Strategy Modality. Nepal Country Report

Evaluation	Evaluation of Finland's Development Cooperation Country Strategies and Country Strategy Modality. Nepal Country Report
Published (year)	2016
Author/Agency	Mokoro Ltd in collaboration with Indufor Oy
Commissioned by	Finnish Ministry of Foreign Affairs
Type of evaluation	Country
Project period	2008-2015
Keywords	Institution building, rule of law, good governance, human rights, women, peace, education, water, sanitation, hygiene, forestry, environment, waste
Abstract	The evaluation of Finland's Country Strategy (CS) for Nepal 2013–2015 was part of an overall evaluation of Finland's Country Strategy Modality (CSM). According to the report The CS was relevant to the national context and policies, and to Finnish development policy priorities, and improved the focus of the Finnish development cooperation portfolio. However, the CSM directed the portfolio only partially, because at the time it was introduced, the programme was built around a group of ongoing interventions. Assessment of the strategy's effectiveness was hindered by the contribution gap in its logic model. The CS did contribute to more aid effective country programming because the number of sectors and interventions was reduced. However, decisions on new projects or the extension of existing projects were not clearly guided by the CS. Because of the rather abstract impact of the CS was hard to assess. Risk management was not included in the initial CS. Complementarity of the CS with other Finnish channels and mechanisms was inadequate. Coherence of the CS and its programme remained partial. The evaluation recommended to revise the logic model and corresponding results monitoring framework in order to deal with the contribution gap.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender, human rights, climate change

Evaluation	Evaluation of Finland's Development Cooperation Country Strategies and Country Strategy Modality. Nepal Country Report
Link to evaluation	https://um.fi/documents/384998/385866/nepal_report

1.3.4 Joint Evaluation of Nepal's School Sector Reform Plan Programme 2009-2016

Evaluation	Joint Evaluation of Nepal's School Sector Reform Plan Programme 2009-2016
Published (year)	2016
Author/Agency	GFA Consulting Group GmbH
Commissioned by	European Union
Type of evaluation	Sector programme
Project period	2009-2016
Keywords	Education, SWAp (Sector wide approach) programme, joint funding (ADB, AusAid, Denmark, EU, DFID, FTI-Catalytic, Finland, Norway, UNICEF, World Bank, GPE, JICA, UNESCO, WFP)
Abstract	 The School Sector Reform Plan (SSRP), 2009-2016, aimed to expand access and equity, improve quality and relevance, and strengthen the institutional capacity of the entire school system. Funded through a pool of Development Partners (DPs) together with the Government of Nepal (GoN) and the Global Partnership for Education (GPE), the SSRP programme tackled long-lasting challenges in the field of education in Nepal. The programme was developed within the framework of wider poverty concerns and has been aligned to poverty reduction strategies, both nationally and internationally. As such, the SSRP objectives were found be relevant to the development plans, and reflect the Education For All (EFA) goals and the Millennium Development Goals (MDG). The SSRP programme was expected to end in July 2016 and the key implementing actor was the Ministry of Education (MoE), using a Sector Wide Approach (SWAp). The evaluation presented an independent assessment of the achievements, strengths and weaknesses of the different components of the programme, against the SSRP neglet address existing disparities linked to caste, ethnicity, religion and geography, but also helped avert potential conflicts and political divisions; Access and equity increased in most levels of education, notably in ECED, primary, basic, secondary and non-formal education; Education has become a priority sector for the GoN, for which investments have steady increased; In spite of good results in access and equity, the poor quality of education still produced school-leavers who have not acquired the necessary competencies to improve their economic situation; The GoN progressively took over more of the funding responsibilities, but will not be able to take over the whole funding of the SSRP once donors have withdrawn.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender, caste, ethnicity
Link to evaluation	http://www.moe.gov.np/assets/uploads/files/Joint_evaluation_of_the_Scho ol_Sector_Reform_Programme_2009-16_final_report.pdf

1.4 2015

1.4.1 Real-time Evaluation of World Vision's Response to the Nepal Earthquake Emergency

Evaluation	Real-time Evaluation of World Vision's Response to the Nepal Earthquake Emergency
Published (year)	2015
Author/Agency	World Vision staff
Commissioned by	World Vision
Type of evaluation	Country (emergency response in affected areas)
Project period	2015
Keywords	Earthquake response, recovery, resilience, shelter, water, sanitation, hygiene, education, health, child protection, food
Abstract	 The purpose of the real-time evaluation (RTE) of World Vision's response to the emergency situation was to Review the response against established criteria (effectiveness and timeliness, appropriateness, coverage, accountability, connectedness and sustainability, and organisational efficiency) and recommend immediate changes that can improve the emergency programme; Identify good practices to use more widely and scale up and also opportunities for improvement; Promote a learning culture and approach within World and among its partners. Vision and among its partners. The evaluation concluded that the response was well funded, with a mix of grants and private non-sponsorship (PNS) funds totalling over US\$26 million. World Vision was timely in distributing goods quickly in most districts. The Response was mostly achieving its plans in terms of the number of people reached; as of mid-July 2015 over 63 per cent of the people targeted in the response plan had received emergency relief assistance. People reached by World Vision were those most affected and vulnerable, though a few groups such as the elderly may not have been reached. Overall, good practices on the World Vision Non-food Tracking System (NTS), information management, emergency communications, response management and partnering and collaboration were evident in this response. Areas in which learning occurred were accessing remote areas and locations, using a multisectoral approach in the immediate relief phase of a response, identifying culturally appropriate humanitarian accountability mechanisms, Last Mile Mobile Solutions (LMMS) and staffing and staff care.
Cross-cutting issues	Education, health
Link to evaluation	http://www.alnap.org/resource/21277

1.4.2 Mid-Term Review of the 2013-2017 WHO Country Cooperation Strategy: Nepal

Evaluation	Mid-Term Review of the 2013-2017 WHO Country Cooperation Strategy: Nepal
Published (year)	2015
Author/Agency	WHO
Commissioned by	WHO
Type of evaluation	Country

Evaluation	<i>Mid-Term Review of the 2013-2017 WHO Country Cooperation Strategy: Nepal</i>
Project period	2013-2015
Keywords	Health, earthquake response
Abstract	The evaluation found the the strategic priorities of the Country Cooperation Strategy 2013-2017 to be valid and relevant, but noted that they needed to be more focused. The report stressed that WHO was overstretched in too many areas, and recommended to adapt to the changes in the country, in particular the new Constitution, National Health Policy 2014 and the National Health Strategy 2015-2020. The MTR also recommended a shift with an increased priority to health system strengthening and non- communicable diseases. WHO should strengthen its capacity to support and monitor universal health coverage and to address inequity in access to health services. The new Constitution implies restructuring of the state through federal form of governance with special emphasis on decentralization and strengthening local health governance. It calls to restructure central and local authorities to make them more responsive to health needs and provides an opportunity for addressing weaknesses in the current health care system and improve service delivery. WHO should be prepared to assist the Government at this important juncture and play a leading role in advising and coordination of the technical support from the external development partners. Health system strengthening should consequently become a core area for WHO support to Nepal. The health system support up to now has been too fragmented and requires a more holistic approach and enhanced technical capacity within the country office. The WHO's response to the earthquake on April 2015 working with the Government and other partners was considered very satisfactory. Efficient deployment of experienced WHO staff from the country office, SEARO and other offices shortly after the earthquake and effective coordination with MoHP and other partners were the main reasons for this achievement.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender, governance
Link to evaluation	http://www.searo.who.int/nepal/documents/mtr_2013- 2017_who_ccs_nepal.pdf

1.4.3 Report of the Mid-term Review of the Swiss Cooperation Strategy

Evaluation	Report of the Mid-term Review of the Swiss Cooperation Strategy
Published (year)	2015
Author/Agency	SDC
Commissioned by	Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC)
Type of evaluation	Country
Project period	2013-2015
Keywords	State building, rule of law, security, peace-building, livelihoods, resilience, rural areas, human rights-based approach, emergency response and recovery, humanitarian
Abstract	The evaluation found that the contribution of Switzerland towards an inclusive federal state, human security and the rule of law – despite a lot of activities implemented and good outputs produced – was not as effective as the SCS had envisaged, but there were good signs of progress on conflict resolution and gender-based violence reporting. The bulk of the investment under the SCS contributed to improved livelihoods and increased resilience of people, especially disadvantaged

Evaluation	Report of the Mid-term Review of the Swiss Cooperation Strategy
	groups living in rural areas and small urban centres. In this area, Switzerland's contribution was on track to achieve the outcome set by the SCS, and there was evidence that people's livelihoods were improving, thanks to Switzerland's investments and the efforts of other donors.
	The policy and political context in Nepal and the unclear road towards federalism constituted risks for the delivery of the strategy, and will need to be monitored closely for the risk to be mitigated effectively.
	The evaluation concluded that it was important to increase the synergies between the SCS domains of interventions and to streamline key social empowerment aspects within projects in productive sectors. Such integration will better align the SCS with the new development cooperation policy and increase the coherence of the SCS.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender equity, social inclusion
Link to evaluation	https://www.eda.admin.ch/content/dam/countries/countries- content/nepal/en/Report_of_the_Mid_term_Review_of_the_Swiss_Cooper ation_Strategy_2013_17_for_Nepal-EN.pdf

1.4.4 Real Time Evaluation of the Nepal Earthquake Response Operation

Evaluation	Real Time Evaluation of the Nepal Earthquake Response Operation
Published (year)	2015
Author/Agency	Jock Baker, Klaus Palkovits, Tissa Abeywickrama, Chris Lee, and Paul Keen
Commissioned by	Red Cross
Type of evaluation	Country (emergency response in affected areas)
Project period	2015
Keywords	Earthquake response, recovery, shelter, water, sanitation, hygiene, health, child protection, food
Abstract	With its complex geophysical structure and vulnerability to a variety of disaster types, Nepal ranks as one of the most disaster-prone countries in the world. On 25 April 2015, a 7.8 magnitude earthquake struck Nepal, followed by a series of aftershocks including one with a magnitude of 7.3 on 12 May that occurred in the midst of ongoing relief operations. These combined events left behind more than 8,500 people dead, 17,600 injured, over 855,000 houses damaged or destroyed and have had far-reaching impacts on infrastructure, livelihoods and social services.
	The evaluation found that there were several positive factors in Nepal that facilitated a coordinated response that was largely consistent with the Principles and Rules for Red Cross and Red Crescent humanitarian assistance. This was a response to large scale natural disaster that had been foreseen and prepared for, including the organization of regular simulation exercises. There was an established and well-functioning cooperation between the Partner National Societies (PNS), the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) and the Nepal Red Cross (NRCS), which is a widely-respected National Society that has a good existing working relationship with the IFRC at a country, regional and global level.
	 Many key informants from the RC Movement felt that the Nepal response was in many ways a model of a well-coordinated response. However, the momentum could not be sustained and NRCS found themselves in a difficult position where they had to coordinate a coherent recovery program to fulfil their accountability commitments to communities affected by the earthquake and at the same time support the government,

Evaluation	Real Time Evaluation of the Nepal Earthquake Response Operation
	which had asked agencies and communities to wait until a national recovery and reconstruction plan had been developed and communicated before proceeding with large scale reconstruction efforts.
Cross-cutting issues	Health, child protection
Link to evaluation	https://www.alnap.org/system/files/content/resource/files/main/rte-nepal- earthquake-final-report-21-december-2015.pdf

1.4.5 Evaluation of Norway's support to women's rights and gender equality in development cooperation. Nepal case study report

Evaluation	Evaluation of Norway's support to women's rights and gender equality in development cooperation. Nepal case study report
Published (year)	2015
Author/Agency	Swedish Institute for Public Administration (SIPU) in cooperation with Overseas Development Institute (ODI) and the Chr. Michelsen Institute (CMI)
Commissioned by	Norad
Type of evaluation	Thematic (Nepal country report)
Project period	2007-2013
Keywords	Women, gender, women's political empowerment, gender mainstreaming in the energy sector
Abstract	The study covered all Norwegian support to Women's Rights and Gender Equality (WRGE) in development cooperation in the period 2007-2013, whether directly targeted or gender-mainstreamed. Overall, Norway was an important actor in the promotion of gender and women's rights in Nepal and was perceived as such by other development actors. The Norwegian Action Plan emphasized the importance of women's rights and the need to support measures that increase women's control over their own lives. Norway's development policy aimed to 'promote democracy, emphasize the universal human rights, and to support measures that can permanently lift people out of poverty', especially with regard to four key pillars: women's economic empowerment, women's political empowerment, Violence Against Women (VAW) and sexual and reproductive health rights, with a strong focus on the rights of the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender (LGBT) community. Norway contributed to systemic change by providing key stakeholders within the political sphere and civil society with the knowledge, tools and platforms needed to continue to promote WRGE with a stronger and more cohesive voice in all ongoing discussion on the different laws, regulations and funding, particularly important as the country is in the process of drafting a new Constitution, as such, helping to guarantee that key priorities for gender stay on the national agenda. One of the key recommendation was that the Inter-party Women's Alliance (IPWA) future work on political empowerment would benefit from an increased focus on the inclusion of marginalised groups and rural populations, as well as ensuring not only elites have the ability to participate in the processes.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender
Link to evaluation	https://www.norad.no/en/toolspublications/publications/2015/evaluation-of- Norways-support-to-womens-rights-and-gender-equality-in-evelopment- cooperation/

Evaluation	Evaluation of Norwegian Multilateral Support to Basic Education: Nepal Case Study
Published (year)	2015
Author/Agency	Development Portfolio Management Group
Commissioned by	Norad
Type of evaluation	Thematic (Nepal country report)
Project period	2009-2013
Keywords	Basic education, UNICEF, GPE, gender
Abstract	The evaluation found that, overall, the School Sector Reform Program (SSRP) did well on gender equality, achieving gender parity at both primary and secondary level – though learning outcomes for girls are still lower than for boys. There was also convincing evidence that, overall, the SSR-Plar was pro poor and that removing fees and providing in-kind and cash incentives to poor families has increased the economic equity of school education in Nepal. However, SSRP did not as well on other dimensions of equity. There was little evidence that the school system was doing a better job of improving educational outcomes for children who are disabled, who do not speak Nepali or who belong to caste/ethnic groups facing social discrimination.
	The evaluation recommended, inter alia, to improve the ability of the education management information system to better identify social groups where children's access, participation and learning outcomes are lagging focus more attention on building local level capacity for school management and on improving the school governance systems to ensure adequate checks and balances; and provide more stable funding to UNICEF in return for greater accountability for its (jointly agreed) outcomes within the overa SSRP.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender, social inclusion
Link to evaluation	https://www.norad.no/en/toolspublications/publications/2015/evaluation-of- norwegian-multilateral-support-to-basic-education/

1.4.6 Evaluation of Norwegian Multilateral Support to Basic Education: Nepal Case Study

1.4.7 Nepal Constitution Building Process: 2006-2015. Progress, Challenges, and Contributions of International Community

Evaluation	Nepal Constitution Building Process: 2006-2015. Progress, Challenges, and Contributions of International Community
Published (year)	2015
Author/Agency	IDEA
Commissioned by	International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (International IDEA)
Type of evaluation	Study
Project period	2006-2015
Keywords	Constitution, peace building, donors
Abstract	The study found that with the promulgation of the constitution on 20 September 2015, Nepal was at an important juncture. The constitution is not a perfect document. It is not as inclusive or as equitable as many of Nepal's ethnic groups had hoped and the Madhesi and Tharu political parties are extremely dissatisfied with the proposed federal structure which has sparked violence including numerous deaths, particularly in the southern plains. Successful implementation of the constitution will require,

Evaluation	Nepal Constitution Building Process: 2006-2015. Progress, Challenges, and Contributions of International Community
	as a first step, the government to bring all disaffected groups to the table. The International community has a critical role to play in supporting such efforts.
	The material and technical support from international partners has been crucial in enabling national actors to achieve their goals. Now is the time to step up efforts to secure and consolidate the gains that have been made, and continue to support the Nepali people in their aspiration of creating a just and inclusive democratic society. Some are of the opinion that as a result of the earthquakes, the single most pressing need of the country is to rebuild homes, resettle the internally displaced, repair infrastructure, and rehabilitate the livelihoods of thousands of people affected.
	While there is a need for disaster relief and reconstruction, it is equally important that such reconstruction is well-planned and is carried out in a transparent and accountable manner. Good governance is the cornerstone of efficient post-disaster recovery, and in case of Nepal it can only be ensured through the strategic implementation of the new constitution. Implementation of the constitution, therefore, is more critical for Nepal than ever before.
Cross-cutting issues	Governance, socio-economic equality
Link to evaluation	https://www.idea.int/sites/default/files/publications/nepals-constitution- building-process-2006-2015.pdf

1.5 2014

1.5.1 Resilience in Nepal. Evaluation of mainstreaming disaster risk reduction and enhancing response capability

Evaluation	Resilience in Nepal. Evaluation of mainstreaming disaster risk reduction and enhancing response capability
Published (year)	2014
Author/Agency	David Bishop
Commissioned by	Oxfam
Type of evaluation	Project
Project period	2010-2013
Keywords	Resilience, disaster risk reduction, disaster response capability, local communities and institutions
Abstract	This Effectiveness Review found evidence that the project positively affected several important characteristics that are thought to be associated with positive resilience in Dadeldhura district. On average, households in the project communities met thresholds for positive scores in 73 per cent of the characteristics of resilience, compared to only 47 per cent of the characteristics among households in the comparison communities. For example, there was good evidence of attitudinal shifts in terms of awareness of climate change, and in households' confidence in local leadership and government support in times of crisis, as well as levels of social connectedness. There were also examples of how the project successfully effected behaviour change, in terms of households taking practical steps to protect their crops or assets – although the proportion of supported households taking action to protect livestock or assets was very low. There was some evidence of change in some of the more outcome-related measures – for example households exhibiting greater livelihood

Evaluation	Resilience in Nepal. Evaluation of mainstreaming disaster risk reduction and enhancing response capability
	diversification and having greater reserves of savings. One of the clear successes of the project was in starting the process of involving project participants in the district-level disaster planning activities. There was also evidence of strong confidence in local government support mechanisms in the event of disaster.
	However, no evidence emerged that the establishment of the early- warning system resulted in reduced loss of crops or assets due to the flash flood in September 2012.
Cross-cutting issues	Governance
Link to evaluation	http://policy-practice.oxfam.org.uk/publications/resilience-in-nepal- evaluation-of-mainstreaming-disaster-risk-reduction-and-enh-336274

1.6 2013

1.6.1 Mid-term Evaluation of Strømme Foundation Project Nepal (2011-2015)

Evaluation	<i>Mid-term Evaluation of Stromme Foundation Project Nepal (2011- 2015)</i>
Published (year)	2013
Author/Agency	Chiranjibi Rijal et al.
Commissioned by	Strømme Foundation
Type of evaluation	Project
Project period	2011-2013
Keywords	Civil society, social and economic empowerment of excluded families, poverty reduction, livelihoods, girls and women, children
Abstract	The Strømme Foundation (SF) Nepal together with its partner organisations implemented projects in four districts (Rupandehi, Rautahat, Makawanpur and Surkhet). The projects aims were to improve the social and economic status of 8,400 economically and socially excluded families through active participation in civil society collective efforts. SF used the approach of "Help for Self help" to combat poverty in the region. The main strategy was to empower individuals, households and communities and thereby reduce vulnerability and increase the capacity, to organize and work as a force. The evaluation found that by and large the projects met the abovementioned objectives and achieved most of their targets. The holistic and integrated "family as a unit" approach was regarded highly effective. However, it was also noted that the However, the programme did not have a specific exit plan for fostering sustainability of the activities
Cross-cutting issues	Gender
Link to evaluation	https://www.norad.no/en/toolspublications/publications/ngo- evaluations/2014/a-report-on-mid-term-evaluation-of-stromme- foundation-project-nepal/

1.6.2 Nepal Case. Creating Change in Children's Lives: An Evaluation of Save the Children's Child Rights Governance Programme. A Limited Study

Evaluation	Nepal Case. Creating Change in Children's Lives: An Evaluation of Save the Children's Child Rights Governance Programme. A Limited Study
Published (year)	2013
Author/Agency	Nordic Consulting Group
Commissioned by	Save the Children
Type of evaluation	Programme (Nepal country report)
Project period	2011-ongoing
Keywords	Child rights governance, protection, education, health and nutrition, livelihood, HIV and Aids, emergencies
Abstract	Save the Children (SC) has been working in Nepal since 1976 and Child Rights Governance (CRG) is one of the thematic programmes. The evaluation found that various system level changes could be noted, as a result of contribution made by SC Nepal in collaboration with other actors. Major achievements included institutionalisation of state mechanisms for child rights, with appropriate policy and constitutional framework, functional structures and improved governance processes in terms of increased participation and investment in children and increased recognition of children as the rightful stakeholders for decision making. Considerable progress towards institutionalising the process of CRC reporting within civil society for supplementary reporting was also be noted as major milestones. The evaluation also elaborated on some constraints which indicated that progress beyond the outcomes noted at the systemic level, may be difficult to achieve. Achieving results (beyond system level), which impacts the lives of children sustainably, is a challenge in a country context like Nepali given socio-cultural and economic constraints. Any long-term impact would require major social and political reforms (in terms of addressing power dynamics and structural inequalities), which stretch beyond any specific policy and system reform.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender, environment
Link to evaluation	https://www.norad.no/en/toolspublications/publications/ngo- evaluations/2014/creating-change-in-childrens-lives-an-evaluation-of- save-the-childrens-child-rights-governance-programme-nepal-case- study/

1.6.3 Nepal Country Programme Evaluation

Evaluation	Nepal Country Programme Evaluation
Published (year)	2013
Author/Agency	Independent Office of Evaluation of IFAD
Commissioned by	International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD)
Type of evaluation	Country
Project period	1999-2012
Keywords	Leasehold forestry, livestock development, integrated rural development, poverty alleviation
Abstract	Overall, for the period 1999-2012, the evaluation assessed the IFAD/Nepal partnership to be moderately satisfactory, considering improvements in the later part of the period and combining the moderately satisfactory

Evaluation	Nepal Country Programme Evaluation
	performance of the country strategic opportunities programmes (COSOP) and portfolio with the moderately unsatisfactory performance of non-lending activities. IFAD's country programme contributed to alleviation of rural poverty (making many rural households less poor), but it made only a relatively modest contribution to poverty reduction (helping people escape poverty for good). The programme contributed to the formation of thousands of beneficiary groups, but the majority are still weak, institutionally and financially, with limited management capacity, capital and turnover, and they depend largely on project support.
	The evaluation recommended a paradigm shift: Nepal's rural areas have an abundance of project-created and project-dependent beneficiary groups, but a shortage of profitable enterprises that create income for owners/members and employment for the poor. The support of such enterprises will generate employment for landless and near-landless people, who will not be able to escape poverty without off-farm income. If priority is given to value chains of high-value crops suited for intensive cultivation (or intensive animal husbandry), jobs will also be created in small and medium-sized farms.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender, environment, corruption
Link to evaluation	https://www.ifad.org/evaluation/reports/cpe/tags/nepal/y2013/1858182

1.6.4 Joint Evaluation (Denmark, Switzerland, Finland) of the international support to the peace process in Nepal 2006-12

Evaluation	Joint Evaluation (Denmark, Switzerland, Finland) of the international support to the peace process in Nepal 2006-12
Published (year)	2013
Author/Agency	Particip GmbH, Niras
Commissioned by	Danida
Type of evaluation	Sector
Project period	2006-2012
Keywords	Development of a democratic political environment, respect for human rights and rule of law, peaceful resolution of the armed conflict, contribution to sustainable peace
Abstract	This evaluation of the international support to the peace process in Nepal focused on the contributions made by Denmark, Switzerland and Finland in the period from 2006 to May 2012. The contributions by these focal development partners were viewed in the context of support from other development partner countries especially where provided through joint funds. The evaluation found that the focal development partner strategies all aim to support the CPA objectives – and, as such, signify good coherence with national peace aspirations. The report noted that the fragile states principles was a useful prism through which to study the support to the peace process, and many of the evaluation questions were also reflected through this framework. The focal development partners generally have lived up to the spirit, if not the letter, of the principles, although the ambition to "Do No Harm" is particularly tricky when support to the constitutional process. All three focal development partners provided substantial support to the elections accounted for more than one quarter of all Danish PSP expenditure. The Swiss provided constitutional technical expertise and facilitation and mediation support. Finland has supported the constitutional

Evaluation	Joint Evaluation (Denmark, Switzerland, Finland) of the international support to the peace process in Nepal 2006-12
	process through its funding of NPTF (though that was not earmarked) and through the support to the International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance (International IDEA).
	The evaluation produced a broad range of recommendations including but not limited to: Development partners should continue to invest in conflict analysis with a political economy approach, and should mainstream this for all of their development interventions, i.e. paying attention to the potential impact of interventions on differing groups.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender, corruption
Link to evaluation	http://www.netpublikationer.dk/um/11211/pdf/the_peace_process_in_Nepal _2006_12.pdf

1.6.5 ADB Country Partnership Strategy Final Review

Evaluation	ADB Country Partnership Strategy Final Review
Published (year)	2013
Author/Agency	African Development Bank
Commissioned by	African Development Bank
Type of evaluation	Country
Project period	2010-2012
Keywords	Infrastructure, agriculture transformation, employment generation, governance, private sector investment, broad-based and inclusive economic growth, inclusive social development, climate change adaptation and environmental sustainability, education, energy, finance, transport, water supply, municipal infrastructure
Abstract	The review found that the CPS was strategic and adaptive with its conflict- sensitive, flexible, result orientation, collaborative, and knowledge approaches to enhance development impact. The CPS introduced three risk mitigation tools that augmented its strategic positioning in the fragile political, environmental, and governance context The peace-building tool- first ever to be piloted in a CPS and which ensured ADB projects do not exacerbate the prevailing political and social tensions-has been highly appreciated by the government and development partners (DPs). Given Nepal's high natural disaster and climate change vulnerability, the CPS introduced a climate change and disaster risk management tool (the first initiative in ADB) to 'climate and disaster proof' ADS-supported projects. The governance risk assessment tool helped identify risks and mitigation measures in governance, particularly public financial management (PFM), and enhance the quality of ADB interventions. These tools helped ADB assistance withstand political instability and anchor policy dialogue and operations to actual development gains. Demonstrating flexibility, frequent programming exercises were also undertaken to adjust the country program to ensure its relevance and responsiveness. One of the main conclusions was: Ensuring operational effectiveness and impacts require capacity building including the requisite change in mindset (e.g., for better accountability of programs) and development of sound policy, institutional, and regulatory framework. This in turn requires a long- term commitment and partnership, and strong leadership and ownership by the recipients. The report therefore recommended that the ADB needs to consider long-term engagement and partnership in its operations in priority sector and thematic operations with clear sector or thematic development roadmaps while exploring due ownership and leadership to advance the process. Programs need to be systematically sequenced,

Evaluation	ADB Country Partnership Strategy Final Review
	with clear monitoring and evaluation mechanisms to be able to systematically monitor outcomes and impacts.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender, environment, corruption
Link to evaluation	https://www.adb.org/sites/default/files/linked-documents/Nepal-CPS- 2010-2012-Final-Review.pdf

1.6.6 Country Assistance Evaluation of Nepal

Evaluation	Country Assistance Evaluation of Nepal
Published (year)	2013
Author/Agency	International Development Center of Japan Inc.
Commissioned by	Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Japan
Type of evaluation	Country
Project period	2006-2013
Keywords	Poverty alleviation in rural areas, peacebuilding, transition to democracy, social infrastructure, balanced and sustainable economic growth
Abstract	The basic policy for Japan's assistance to Nepal (major objective) is assistance to support sustainable and balanced economic growth, with the goal of allowing the country to graduate from the status of LDC. It consists of the following three priority areas: poverty alleviation in rural areas, peacebuilding and steady transition to democracy, and building social infrastructure and institutions for balanced and sustainable economic growth. The evaluation rated the relevance of Japan's assistance policy rated as "high." The effectiveness of results was rated as "moderate." The appropriateness of the process was rated as "moderate." The overall evaluation of the assistance policy was rated as "moderate." The overall evaluation, the report noted that from the perspective of diplomacy, Japan's assistance highly contributed to the diplomatic relations between the two countries. Consequently the evaluation recommended: it is important to proactively use ODA as a diplomatic measure. Therefore, steady assistance should continue to be directed to Nepal by maintaining certain amount of aid, combining the various schemes effectively, and thereby highlight the presence of Japan.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender
Link to evaluation	http://www.mofa.go.jp/policy/oda/evaluation/FY2012/text- pdf/nepal_summary.pdf

1.7 2012

1.7.1 Country Programme between Finland and Nepal

Evaluation	Country Programme between Finland and Nepal
Published (year)	2012
Author/Agency	S.A. AGRER N.V.
Commissioned by	Ministry for Foreign Affairs of Finland
Type of evaluation	Country

Evaluation	Country Programme between Finland and Nepal
Project period	2002-2011
Keywords	Water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH), environment, participation, inclusion, gender equity, civil society
	Nepal is one of the eight principal development cooperation partner countries of Finland. The evaluation report gives an account of Finland's development policies over the last decade and how it has evolved and how it has been transformed into a cooperation programme with Nepal. Major external and internal factors influenced the cooperation and shaped the implementation over the evaluation period, which covered also politically difficult times in Nepal.
Abstract	The evaluation concluded that despite the changing circumstance a number of development cooperation programmes were able to contribute towards the development goals of Nepal, for example in the education and the environment sectors. Similarly, the development goals and aspirations set by the Finnish development policies were largely fulfilled. The report identified a number of strengths and good practices in the cooperation programme as well as some weaknesses, but not one bad practice. The overall quality of the country programme between Nepal and Finland was assessed to be good. The evaluation made a number of recommendations towards developing further the cooperation between the two countries to face the current and future challenges.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender equality, environment, civil society
Link to evaluation	http://www.formin.finland.fi/public/default.aspx?contentid=240706&nodeid =49728&contentlan=2&culture=en-US

1.7.2 Evaluation of the Commission of the European Union's Co-operation with Nepal

Evaluation	Evaluation of the Commission of the European Union's Co- operation with Nepal
Published (year)	2012
Author/Agency	Particip GmbH
Commissioned by	Commission of the European Union
Type of evaluation	Country
Project period	2002-2010
Keywords	Democracy; human rights; women, poor and excluded people; trade- related assistance; education; agricultural development; energy
Abstract	 The evaluation covered the entire portfolio of the EU's development co- operation with Nepal over the period 2002-2010. Specific attention was given to: Support to Nepal's poverty reduction strategy, particularly in (i) Education, (ii) Rural Development, (iii) Renewable Energy and the Environment, (iv) Peace Building and Consolidation of Democracy, and (v) Trade facilitation and integration in the international economy The main findings of the report included: By staying engaged with the Government of Nepal in fighting poverty, the EU helped to keep the Government of Nepal poverty reduction strategy on track in achieving the Millennium Development Goals; The EU interventions improved conditions for further poverty reduction. However, the direct contribution to increased production, employment and incomes remained rather limited as few and only small interventions were implemented in productive sectors;

Evaluation	Evaluation of the Commission of the European Union's Co- operation with Nepal
	 The EU actively participated in the policy dialogue with the Government of Nepal in line with the size of its support (the EC is a relatively small Development Partner in Nepal), but more could have been done in several sectors of cooperation (e.g. trade, the rule of law and democracy); The EU portfolio was characterised by a suitable mix of instruments and aid modalities. Despite the relatively weak institutional environment and the unstable political context, the move towards budget support was justified and has led to some tangible results in terms of improved service delivery as well as greater government ownership.
Cross-cutting issues	Promoting community participation in and management of activities at the local levels; environment
Link to evaluation	https://ec.europa.eu/europeaid/strategic-evaluation-eu-cooperation- nepal-2002-2010_en

1.8 2011

1.8.1 Final Evaluation of the United Nations Development Assistance Framework

Evaluation	Final Evaluation of the United Nations Development Assistance Framework
Published (year)	2011
Author/Agency	Richard M Chiwara et al.
Commissioned by	UNDAF
Type of evaluation	Country
Project period	2008-2010
Keywords	MDGs, Paris Declaration on Aid Effectiveness; national institutions, peace, socially excluded and economically marginalised groups, sustainable livelihood opportunities, socially excluded groups in conflict affected areas; human rights
Abstract	The United Nations Development Assistance Framework provided a collective, coherent and integrated United Nations System response to national priorities and needs within the framework of the Millennium Development Goals and the commitments, goals and targets of the Millennium Declaration, international conferences, summits, conventions and human rights instruments of the UN. The UNDAF for Nepal covered a three-year period from January 2008 to December 2010, which was aligned with Nepal's national planning cycle that also covers a three year period from July 2007 to June 2010.
	The evaluation found that the UNDAF priorities were relevant and aligned to national goals and priorities. The UNDAF priorities were based on the analysis contained in the Common Country Assessment and the Government's Three-Year Interim Plan, which itself was developed through a consultative process involving government, development partners and civil society. A National Steering Committee including the Government and Civil Society Organisations led the development of the UNDAF, which gave it wide acceptance as a nationally owned document.
	With regards to the effectiveness of UN interventions, the evaluation found that UN interventions effectively contributed to the expected UNDAF outcomes and national goals. Several examples demonstrated that women, youth, socially excluded and economically marginalised groups were

Evaluation	Final Evaluation of the United Nations Development Assistance Framework
	participating in development programme initiatives in their communities based on the gender equity and social inclusion (GESI) guidelines. However, the evaluation also noted some instances where programme effectiveness was affected by such factors as weaknesses in interagency collaboration or total absence of integrated approach by the UN in addressing challenges faced by a specific target group.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender, environment, social equality, human rights
Link to evaluation	http://reliefweb.int/report/nepal/united-nations-development-assistance- framework-nepal-2008-2010-final-evaluation

1.9 2010

1.9.1 The World Bank in Nepal 2003–2008 Country Program Evaluation

Evaluation	The World Bank in Nepal 2003–2008 Country Program Evaluation
Published (year)	2010
Author/Agency	Independent Evaluation Group
Commissioned by	World Bank
Type of evaluation	Country
Project period	2003-2008
Keywords	Public expenditure management, private sector development, pro-poor agricultural development, education, health, rural water and sanitation, decentralisation and others
Abstract	The evaluation covered International Development Association (IDA) support to Nepal during 2003-2008. IDA's overarching goal during this period was to support the Government's efforts to reduce poverty and improve human well-being. IDA focused on helping to foster broad-based growth, social development, social inclusion, and good governance. IDA was Nepal's largest development partner, providing 13 percent of (gross) overseas development assistance (ODA) flows during 2003-2008. The evaluation concluded that IDA's strategy was relevant to Nepal's development needs, but that its relevance to the more immediate situation was compromised by several factors. These included limited ownership of the World Bank-supported government reform program and its lack of realism with respect to political instability and insecurity. Despite a difficult backdrop, IDA assistance during the review period had positive outcomes in social development and to some extent social inclusion. In contrast, the outcome of IDA support fell short of the WB's programme objectives, as well as outcome targets in broad-based growth and governance. The evaluation highlighted the need to introduce greater realism into the country assistance strategy, and to retain flexibility to adjust to changing circumstances.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender, social inclusion, environment
Link to evaluation	https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/22740

1.9.2 Joint Evaluation of the Implementation of the Paris Declaration, Phase II, Nepal Country Evaluation

Evaluation	Joint Evaluation of the Implementation of the Paris Declaration, Phase II, Nepal Country Evaluation
Published (year)	2010
Author/Agency	Ministry of Finance Nepal
Commissioned by	OECD (the Nepal Country Evaluation was carried out as part of the Phase II Paris Declaration Evaluation (PDE II).
Type of evaluation	Thematic/country
Project period	2000-2010
Keywords	Paris Declaration, ownership, alignment, harmonisation, managing for results, mutual accountability
Abstract	The purpose of the evaluation was to document, analyse and assess the relevance and effectiveness of the Paris Declaration, its contribution to aid effectiveness and ultimately to development results, including poverty reduction.
	The evaluation concluded that the Paris Declaration and Accra Agenda for Action were clearly evident in the development partnership in Nepal. There had been moderate progress towards improved aid effectiveness over the last decade despite the constrained context. There were some examples of good practice including the work of the Nepal Portfolio Performance Review, sector wide working in education and health, and emerging programme based approaches in other areas.
	However the evaluation found that specific implementation of the Paris Declaration principles had been weak, including weakness in the coordination of technical assistance, low levels of aid through government for a significant group of DPs, and slow progress towards greater predictability.
	The evaluation also found continued fragmentation with many standalone projects, vertical funding, and direct implementation, together with moves away from country PFM and procurement systems due to the perceived increases in corruption.
	The need to demonstrate attribution, a reluctance to align internal bureaucratic processes with those of GoN, and inadequate ownership and interest in the development process from Nepal were further problematic issues identified by the evaluation.
Cross-cutting issues	Gender, corruption
Link to evaluation	http://www.oecd.org/dac/evaluation/dcdndep/47083653.pdf

Commissioned by

The Evaluation Department

Carried out by

Particip GmbH in consortium with Menon Economics

Written by

Jörn Dosch

Supported by

Meike Pollakowski (Project Manager)

DECEMBER 2017

Updated November 2018

This report is the product of its authors, and responsibility for the accuracy of data included in this report rests with the authors alone. The findings, interpretations, and conclusions presented in this report do not necessarily reflect the views of the Evaluation Department.

Norad

Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation www.norad.no post-eval@norad.no

December 2017 Updated November 2018

Cover photo: EU/ECHO/Pierre Prakash A woman carrying a basket

ISBN: 978-82-8369-007-1